

20th August 2017 – automated response

JACOB REES-MOGG MP, HOUSE OF COMMONS, LONDON SW1A 0AA

Thank you for your e-mail. I respond to correspondents by letter and not by email, so please ensure you have included your full mailing address.

If you are a constituent and have provided your full postal address I will write to you to answer any issues that you have raised or to let you know what representations I have made on your behalf.

As there is a strict Parliamentary convention that I do not interfere in other Members' constituencies I am unable to take matters up for non-constituents. You can find out who your MP is by going to:

www.parliament.uk.

Given the volume of correspondence I currently receive I much regret that I am no longer able to respond personally to every letter as I have a duty to prioritise my constituents. However, I still review the correspondence frequently and am grateful that you have taken the trouble to get in touch.

*With every good wish,
Jacob Rees-Mogg*

20th August 2017

Dear Mr Rees-Mogg,

Thank you for what appears to be a new automated response.

May I draw to your attention that the parliamentary protocol to which you refer is just that – a protocol. Nor is it as strict as you state, as many MPs do respond to non-constituents and have done so to me. If you are insistent about the matter I will happily send you a long list of them so that you may report them to the appropriate authority.

Secondly, you happily “interfere” in other MPs’ constituencies all the time – by appearing frequently on national public media – so please don’t use that as an excuse to ignore the two questions I have asked you. Which, in any case, are matters of principle not constituency business.

So once again, please be kind enough to answer them. By letter, email, text, carrier-pigeon or stone tablet from on high - I really care not which.

Yours,
Peter Roberts